Rapidly Changing Trends in Phishing —Sharing real-time phishing site detection systems—

LAC Co., Ltd. Ryosuke Yoshimura Tomoya Sano

Agenda

1. Self-introduction

- 2. Phishing damages on the rise
- 3. Rapidly changing phishing trends
- 4. Development of a real-time phishing site detection system
- 5. System demonstration
- 6. Introduction of detection cases
- 7. Conclusion

1. Self-Introduction



Ryosuke Yoshimura

LAC Co., Ltd. Cyber Grid Japan, Inc. Next Generation Security Technology Laboratory

Engaged in research and development of AI to streamline the collection and analysis of Exploit codes and indicators. I am currently working on streamlining phishing site analysis and automating the process of extracting signatures from Exploit codes and creating detection rules.



Tomoya Sano

LAC Co., Ltd. Financial Crimes Prevention Center

Engaged in consultation on measures against financial crimes and cyber crimes. I am also involved in data analysis, AI model building, and implementation support for the development of AI-based fraudulent transaction detection solutions. In addition, involved in activities in cooperation with related external groups and organizations such as the Japan Cybercrime Center and the Council of Anti-Phishing Japan.

2. Phishing Damages On The Rise

What is a Phishing Site

These are websites that deceive officials by using fraudulent tactics to obtain personal information (ID/PW, credit card numbers, account information, etc.) by tricking them into believing they are real organizations.

Number of reports of Phishing Sites

- The number of cases has been increasing every year since 2018, with a record number of cases reported in 2023.
- The number of cases reported in 2024 is at a record high as of January-November, with more cases reported than in the same period in 2023.



2. Phishing Damages On The Rise

Damage Situation

© 2025 LAC Co., Ltd.

• It has been confirmed that the number of incidents of damage believed to be caused by phishing is also increasing year by year.

Credit Card Damage (stolen numbers)

- Damage caused by the theft of credit card numbers includes damage caused by credit card master and physical theft, but most of the damage is caused by phishing.
- In 2023, damages amounting to 50.47 billion yen, the highest ever.



Internet Banking Damage

 The number of fraudulent internet banking transfers in 2023 was the highest ever, with the total amount of damage amounting to 8.73 billion yen and the number of cases reaching 5,578, also the highest number ever.



* Prepared by the National Police Agency's "The Situation of Threats Surrounding Cyberspace"





Point 1: Brand Transition



ÎÂC

Point 2: Changes in URL



Point 2: Changes in URL

- It can be confirmed that the number of cases has been increasing monthly since 2019.
- Brand name as is (combo-squatting)
 - \rightarrow Brand name slightly altered (typo-squatting) \rightarrow You can see the evolution of phishing site URLs, which consist of random characters

It is speculated that criminals are beginning to use URLs that are harder for companies to find.



* JPCERT/CC Phasingurl-listより作成



ÎÂC

Point 3: Increasing sophistication and speed of criminal tactics

Criminals are taking further measures to counter corporate countermeasures, and their methods are becoming more sophisticated and faster.

<Phishers' Techniques>

- Even if the number of users is limited, criminals are switching targets to companies that have little experience dealing with phishing. (Point 1)
- They are taking measures to delay discovery + takedown.
 - It is assumed that by changing the URL method, they are using URLs that are difficult for companies to find.

(Point 2)

- \succ The TTL for phishing sites is decreasing.
- > Setting certain conditions for accessing phishing sites.
 - ✓ User agent
 - ✓ Email address and phone number authentication
- Countermeasures against spoofing emails (DMARC).
 - Adoption of unique domains
 - > Abusing email addresses of companies that do not support DMARC

4. Development of a real-time phishing site detection system \widehat{IAC}

Develop a system to detect phishing sites targeting companies in order to combat the increase in phishing attacks and the trend of decreasing site TTLs.



4. Suspicious Domain Extraction Logic



Rule 1: Does the domain contain random characters?

- Judgment by state transition probabilities using a model trained on English words.
 - > Probability of how far away a string is from an existing word.

Rule 2: Whether the whitelisted domain and the new SSL certificate-issuing domain are similar

- Judgment based on Jaro-Winkler distance
 - > How similar is it to a string in the whitelist?
- Judgment based on diff or partial match search.
 - > Does the domain contain any part of the whitelist string?

Why not use AI?

- Because immediacy was required to process about 12 items per second.
- Because detection using rules 1 and 2 provided sufficient accuracy.
- Because the detection sensitivity threshold can be changed to a certain extent.

4. Suspicious Domain Detection - Rule 1, 2 False Positive Examples \widehat{IAC}

Rule 1: False positive cases of random string detection

- The domain itself is random, but in many cases, it is not a phishing site.
- d2wywj04p8bo16.am
 Contemporation
- pop.hydrat OO.nl
- syn O O O O ustercreate 202501040009ce. O O

Rule 2: False positive cases of approximate detection with whitelist

• Although similar to whitelisted domains, there are many instances where they are different from whitelisted domains



4. Suspicious Domain Detection - Detection using whitelists



Investigate the domains detected by rules 1 and 2 in detail to detect phishing sites.

- 1. Obtain site data for detected domains.
- 2. Compare with data from existing companies (whitelist).
 - > Do the page titles match?
 - > Do the strings in both sites match or are similar?

…etc.



Domains that match or are similar to the whitelist are judged to be phishing sites.

The domains are different, but the information on the site is similar. It is judged to be a phishing site targeting an existing site.

5. System Demo



6. Detection example: Phishing site that downloads malware 1-1 \widehat{LAC}

Jump from news sites, etc.

virtualhomemonitorng[.]com

Pleas	Cerify You Are Human e verify that you are a human to continue.		Verification Steps 1. Press Windows Button "₩" + R 2. Press CTRL + V 3. Press Enter	
	発行元 一般名(CN)	R11		
	組織(O) 組織単位(OU)	Let's Encrypt <証明書に含まれていません>		
	有効期間	証明書は正常		
	発行日 有効期限	2025年1月5日日曜日 5:50:37 2025年4月5日土曜日 5:50:36		

mshta https://github.com/git42025/mp4/releases/download/mp4/blueredgreen.mp4

6. Detection example: Phishing site that downloads malware 1-2 \widehat{LAC}

	Overview	3 🖽 Projects 😚 Packag	ges රූ Stars	
/	Popular repositories			
	4202444	Public	mp4	Public
	html	Public		
git42025	Account creat	ion date: 2	025/1/4	
Follow	Account delet	ion date: 2	025/1/7	Oct Nov Dec
🕼 Joined yesterday Block or Report	Wed			

Html repository : html file showed on virtualhomemonitoring[.]com
420444 repository : .exe is stored
Mp4 repository : mshta command references
(Repository Creation Date : 2025/1/5)

6. Detection example: Phishing site that downloads malware 1-3 \hat{LAC}

10	() 10/61 security vendors flagged this file as malicious		C Reanalyze $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$				
/ 61 Community Score	829cad14a1c6d5c57b4411b55476f87f330388f4f4984067006f1d8f0e261897 blueredgreen.mp4 sgml		Size Last Analysis Date 2.49 MB 3 hours ago				
DETECTION DETAILS	COMMUNITY						
Join our Community and enjoy additional community							
Popular threat label 🕕 power	Popular threat label ① powershell/boxter Family labels powershell						
Security vendors' analysis ① Do you want to automate checks?							
ALYac	() Exploit.HTML-PowerShell.Gen	Arcabit	() Exploit.HTML-PowerShell.Gen [many]				
10	() 10/72 security vendors flagged this file as malicious		C Reanalyze $symp $ Similar $$ More $$				
LU /72 Community Score	4d76fa5be5174af5d51413b49cec652dca4c65f12ee60017ebd158a9605c7c6b LDR_V_1.1.3.exe peexe		Size Last Analysis Date 130.50 KB a moment ago				
DETECTION DETAILS	BEHAVIOR S exe file that e	existed in the					
Join our Community and enjoy additional community insights and crowdsourced detections, plus an API key to automate checks.							
Security vendors' analysis (i) Do you want to automate checks?							
Bkav Pro	() W32.AIDetectMalware	Cylance	(!) Unsafe				

7. Conclusion

Summary

- Phishing attackers continue to evolve in order to evade phishing countermeasures.
- Attacker trends are also changing, with a variety of companies being targeted as phishing sites rather than specific companies.
- By using this tool, it is possible to monitor and detect newly published sites, which is expected to shorten the time until they are taken down.

Future challenges

- Examples of difficult to detect phishing:
 - When there is a lag between issuing the certificate and uploading it to the website.
 - Using shortened URLs or dynamic DNS services. (Domain checks cannot be performed.)

Appendix



Data Sharing

The program and whitelist DB used will be shared in the JSAC2025 Slack.

Contact:

- Ryosuke Yoshimura: ryosuke.yoshimura@lac.co.jp
- Tomoya Sano: <u>tomoya.sano@lac.co.jp</u>

References

- https://www.antiphishing.jp/report/monthly/
- https://www.j-credit.or.jp/information/statistics/
- https://www.npa.go.jp/publications/statistics/cybersecurity/index.html
- https://github.com/JPCERTCC/phishurl-list
- 4d76fa5be5174af5d51413b49cec652dca4c65f12ee60017ebd158a9605c7c6b
- 829cad14a1c6d5c57b4411b55476f87f33088f4f4984067006f1d8f0e261897